

CSG399 Problem Set 3

Jiangzhuo Chen

March 9, 2004

1 PTAS for Independent Set

An *independent set* of an undirected graph G is a subset V' of vertices such that no two vertices in V' have an edge in G . The INDEPENDENTSET problem is to find a maximum-size independent set in G . It is known that INDEPENDENTSET is NP-complete. In this problem, we investigate the approximability of INDEPENDENTSET.

Define the *product* of two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ as $G = (V, E)$, where

$$V = \{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle : v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2\},$$

and there is an edge between vertices $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ and $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ in G if either $u_1 = v_1$ and $(u_2, v_2) \in E_2$ or $(u_1, v_1) \in E_1$. For a positive integer m , let G^m be defined by the recurrence relation $G^{i+1} = G^i \times G$ and $G^1 = G$.

- (a) Prove that G has an independent set of size k if and only if G^m has an independent set of size k^m .
- (b) Give a polynomial-time algorithm to construct an independent set of G of size $\lceil k^{1/m} \rceil$ from any independent set of G^m of size k .
- (c) Using parts (a) and (b), argue that if there exists a constant c such that there is a polynomial-time c -approximation algorithm for INDEPENDENTSET, then there exists a PTAS for INDEPENDENTSET.

It is easy to see $V(G^m) = V(G)^m$. There are two ways to decide $E(G^m)$. One is described in the problem statement. The other is my “misunderstanding”.

1. Vertices (v_1, \dots, v_m) and (u_1, \dots, u_m) are adjacent iff the first different pair of components are adjacent in G , i.e., $\exists i, \forall j < i, v_j = u_j, v_i \neq u_i, (v_i, u_i) \in E(G)$.

2. Vertices (v_1, \dots, v_m) and (u_1, \dots, u_m) are adjacent iff any pair of (different) components are adjacent in G , i.e., $\exists i, (v_i, u_i) \in E(G)$.

The claims are proven for both understandings, with the **same arguments**.

- (a) G has an independent set of size $k \iff G^m$ has an independent set of size k^m .

\Rightarrow : Suppose $I = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ is an independent set of G . Then I^m is an independent set of G^m , since $\forall v_i, u_i \in I$, v_i and u_i are not adjacent in G .

\Leftarrow : Argue by contradiction. The arguments also provide an algorithm for part (b). Suppose $I' \subset V(G)^m, |I'| = k^m$ is an independent set of G^m , but the maximum independent set of G has size at most $k - 1$. Look at the first components of the vertices in I' . They only have at most $k - 1$ distinct values, otherwise these $\geq k$ values form an independent set of G . So there exists $I'_1 \subseteq I'$ of size at least $k^{m-1} + 1$ whose elements have the same first components. Use the same argument, it is easy to see that there exists $I'_i \subseteq I'_{i-1} \subseteq I'$ of size at least $k^{m-i} + 1$ whose elements have the same first i components. Therefore, there exists $I'_m \subseteq I'$ of size at least 2 whose elements are all the same, meaning these two vertices are the same, i.e., $|I'| < k^m$, a contradiction.

- Given an independent set I' of G^m of size k , the algorithm scans the components of the elements of I' from the first to the last.

1. $i \leftarrow 1, I'_0 \leftarrow I', \text{FOUND} = \text{FALSE}$
2. While not FOUND do the following:
 - (a) $I =$ the set of distinct values of the i th components of the vertices in I'_{i-1}
 - (b) If $|I| \geq \lceil k^{1/m} \rceil$, FOUND = TRUE
 - (c) Else find $v \in I'_{i-1}$ which has the maximum number of occurrence as the i th components of the vertices in I'_{i-1} ; find $I'_i \subseteq I'_{i-1}$ whose elements have v as their i th components.
 - (d) $i++$
3. Output I

The proof for the \Leftarrow direction of part (a) guarantees the correctness of the algorithm.

- Suppose A is a polytime c -approximation algorithm for INDEPENDENTSET. Suppose the algorithm defined in part **(b)** is B . Let $H(G)$ denote the optimal solution for G . Let $f(\cdot)$ be a function $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{N}$. Define algorithms D as follows. On input (G, ε) , construct $G^{f(\varepsilon)}$ in either way; output $B(A(G^{f(\varepsilon)}))$. We need to determine $f(\cdot)$ such that $C(G) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)H(G)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} A(G^{f(\varepsilon)}) &\geq \frac{1}{c} H(G^{f(\varepsilon)}) \\ H(G^{f(\varepsilon)}) &= H(G)^{f(\varepsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} C(G) &= B(A(G^{f(\varepsilon)})) = \left\lceil \left(A(G^{f(\varepsilon)}) \right)^{1/f(\varepsilon)} \right\rceil \\ &\geq \left\lceil \left(\frac{1}{c} H(G^{f(\varepsilon)}) \right)^{1/f(\varepsilon)} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \left(\frac{1}{c} \right)^{1/f(\varepsilon)} \left(H(G)^{f(\varepsilon)} \right)^{1/f(\varepsilon)} \right\rceil \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{c} \right)^{1/f(\varepsilon)} H(G) \end{aligned}$$

For $C(G) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)H(G)$, we only need $\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)^{1/f(\varepsilon)} \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, which can be achieved by defining

$$f(\varepsilon) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\log_c \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}} \right\rceil$$