# Kinematic Redundancy

Robert Platt
Northeastern University

Kinematic Redundancy

- A manipulator may have more DOFs than are necessary to control a desired variable

    - What do you do w/ the extra DOFs?

- However, even if the manipulator has "enough" DOFs, it may still be unable to control some variables in some configurations…

Jacobian Range Space

Before we think about redundancy, let's look at the range space of the Jacobian transform:

The velocity Jacobian maps joint velocities onto end effector velocities: $v = J_v(q)\dot{q}$

$$J_v(q) : Q \rightarrow V$$

Space of joint velocities

- This is the domain of J: $D(J_v)$

Space of end effector velocities

- This is the range space of J: $R(J_v)$

Jacobian Range Space

$$J_v(q) : Q \to V$$

In some configurations, the range space of the Jacobian may not span the entire space of the variable to be controlled:

$$\exists v \in V, v \notin R(J_v(q))$$

$R(J_v(q))$ spans V if $\forall v \in V, v \in R(J_v(q))$

Example: *a* and *b* span this two dimensional space:

Jacobian Range Space

This is the case in the manipulator to the right:

- In this configuration, the Jacobian does not span the *y* direction (or the *z* direction)

$$y \in V, y \notin R\left(J_v(q)\right)$$

Jacobian Range Space

Let's calculate the velocity Jacobian:

$$J_v(q) = \begin{bmatrix} -l_1 s_1 - l_2 s_{12} - l_3 s_{123} & -l_2 s_{12} - l_3 s_{123} & -l_3 s_{123} \\ l_1 c_1 + l_2 c_{12} + l_3 c_{123} & l_2 c_{12} + l_3 c_{123} & l_3 c_{123} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Joint configuration of manipulator: $q = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\pi}{2} \\ 0 \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}$

$$J_v(q) = \begin{bmatrix} -l_1 - l_2 + l_3 & -l_2 + l_3 & l_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

There is no joint velocity, $\dot{q}$, that will produce a *y* velocity, $y = J_v(q)\dot{q}$

Therefore, you're in a singularity.

Jacobian Singularities

In singular configurations:

- $J_v(q)$ does not span the space of Cartesian velocities

- $J_v(q)$ loses rank

Test for kinematic singularity:

- If $\det\left[J(q)J(q)^T\right]$ is zero, then manipulator is in a singular configuration

Example:

$$\det\left[J(q)J(q)^T\right]=\det\begin{bmatrix} -l_1-l_2+l_3 & -l_2+l_3 & l_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} -l_1-l_2+l_3 & 0 \\ -l_2+l_3 & 0 \\ l_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}=\det\begin{bmatrix} \text{something} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=0$$

Jacobian Singularities: Example

The four singularities of the three-link planar arm:

Think-pair-share

What are the singularities for this arm?

– which dimensions of the range space go to zero in which configurations?

Jacobian Singularities and Cartesian Control

Cartesian control involves calculating the inverse or pseudoinverse:

$$J^{\#} = J^T \left(J J^T \right)^{-1}$$

However, in singular configurations, the pseudoinverse (or inverse) does not exist because $\left(J J^T \right)^{-1}$ is undefined.

As you approach a singular configuration, joint velocities in the singular direction calculated by the pseudoinverse get very large:

$$\dot{q} = J^{\#} \dot{x}_s = J^T \left(J J^T \right)^{-1} \dot{x}_s = \text{big}$$

In Jacobian transpose control, joint velocities in the singular direction (*i.e.* the gradient) go to zero:

$$\dot{q} = J^T \dot{x}_s = 0$$

Where $\dot{x}_s$ is a singular direction.

Questions

In Jacobian pseudoinverse control, what is the maximum velocity of a joint as a function of the singular values of J?

What is the maximum joint velocity in Jacobian transpose control?

Jacobian Singularities and Cartesian Control

One way to get the "best of both worlds" is to use the "dampled least squares inverse" – aka the singularity robust (SR) inverse:

$$J^* = J^T \left(JJ^T + k^2 I \right)^{-1}$$

- Because of the additional term inside the inversion, the SR inverse does not blow up.

- In regions near a singularity, the SR inverse trades off exact trajectory following for minimal joint velocities.

BTW, another way to handle singularities is simply to avoid them – this method is preferred by many

- More on this in a bit…

Question

One way to get the "best of both worlds" is to use the "dampled least squares inverse" – aka the singularity robust (SR) inverse:

$$J^* = J^T\left(JJ^T + k^2 I\right)^{-1}$$

- Because of the additional term inside the inversion, the SR inverse does not blow up.

- In regions near a singularity, the SR inverse trades off exact trajectory following for minimal joint velocities.

Prove that this formulation adds an amount k^2 to each eigenvalue of JJ^T

Manipulability Ellipsoid

Can we characterize how close we are to a singularity?

Yes – imagine the possible instantaneous motions are described by an ellipsoid in Cartesian space.

Can't move much this way

Can move a lot this way

Manipulability Ellipsoid

The manipulability ellipsoid is an ellipse in Cartesian space corresponding to the twists that unit joint velocities can generate:

$$\dot{q}^T \dot{q} = 1$$ ← A unit sphere in joint velocity space

$$\left(J^\# \dot{x}\right)^T J^\# \dot{x} = 1$$ ← Project the sphere into Cartesian space

$$\dot{x}^T \left(J^T \left(JJ^T\right)^{-1}\right)^T J^T \left(JJ^T\right)^{-1} \dot{x} = 1$$

$$\dot{x}^T \left(JJ^T\right)^{-T} JJ^T \left(JJ^T\right)^{-1} \dot{x} = 1$$

$$\dot{x}^T \left(JJ^T\right)^{-1} \dot{x} = 1$$ ← The space of feasible Cartesian velocities

Forms an ellipsoid – manipulability ellipsoid

Manipulability Ellipsoid

What are the dimensions
of manipulability ellipsoid?

$$\dot{x}^T \left(JJ^T \right)^{-1} \dot{x} = 1$$

v_1

v_2

l_1

l_2

Manipulability Ellipsoid

What are the dimensions
of manipulability ellipsoid?

$$\dot{x}^T \left(JJ^T \right)^{-1} \dot{x} = 1$$

$$l_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$$

Length of side *i* is
sqrt of eigenvalue of JJ^T
– i.e. reciprocal of sqrt of (JJ^T)^-1

v_1

v_2

l_1

l_2

Manipulability Ellipsoid

What are the dimensions
of manipulability ellipsoid?

$$\dot{x}^T \left(JJ^T \right)^{-1} \dot{x} = 1$$

$$l_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$$

Length of side *i* is
sqrt of eigenvalue of JJ^T
– i.e. reciprocal of sqrt of (JJ^T)^-1

v_1

v_2

l_1

l_2

Fun fact: Eigenvalue of JJ^T equals the singular value for J: $\lambda_i = \sigma_i^2$

Therefore: $l_i = \sigma_i$ of matrix J.

Manipulability Ellipsoid

What are the dimensions
of manipulability ellipsoid?

$$\dot{x}^T \left(JJ^T \right)^{-1} \dot{x} = 1$$

$$l_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$$

Length of side *i* is
sqrt of eigenvalue of JJ^T
– i.e. reciprocal of sqrt of (JJ^T)^-1

v_1

l_1

v_2

l_2

Fun fact: Eigenvalue of JJ^T equals the singular value for J: $\lambda_i = \sigma_i^2$

Therefore: $l_i = \sigma_i$ of matrix J.

Manipulability measure: proportional to volume of manipulability ellipsoid

$$\sqrt{det(JJ^T)}$$

Manipulability Ellipsoid

What are the dimensions
of manipulability ellipsoid?

$$\dot{x}^T \left(JJ^T \right)^{-1} \dot{x} = 1$$

$$l_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$$

Length of side *i* is
sqrt of eigenvalue of JJ^T
– i.e. reciprocal of sqrt of (JJ^T)^-1

v_1

v_2

l_1

l_2

Fun fact: Eigenvalue of JJ^T equals the singular value for J: $\lambda_i = \sigma_i^2$

Therefore: $l_i = \sigma_i$ of matrix J.

Manipulability measure: proportional to volume of manipulability ellipsoid

$$\sqrt{det(JJ^T)}$$

Why is this proportional to volume?

Manipulability Ellipsoid

What are the dimensions
of manipulability ellipsoid?

$$\dot{x}^T \left(JJ^T \right)^{-1} \dot{x} = 1$$

$$l_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$$

v_1

v_2

l_1

l_2

Length of side *i* is
sqrt of eigenvalue of JJ^T
– i.e. reciprocal of sqrt of (JJ^T)^-1

Fun fact: Eigenvalue of JJ^T equals the singular value for J: $\lambda_i = \sigma_i^2$

Therefore: $l_i = \sigma_i$ of matrix J.

Condition number: eccentricity of manipulability ellipsoid

$$\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_n}$$

Condition number close to
one indicates an isotropic ellipsoid

Manipulability Ellipsoid

Isotropic manipulability
ellipsoid

NOT isotropic
manipulability ellipsoid

In what configuration of the planar two-link arm is this the manipulability ellipsoid isotropic?

Force Manipulability Ellipsoid

You can also calculate a manipulability ellipsoid
   for force:

$$\tau^T \tau = 1$$ ⟵ A unit sphere in the space of joint torques

$$\tau = J^T F$$

$$\left(J^T F\right)^T J^T F = 1$$

$$F^T J J^T F = 1$$ ⟵ The space of feasible Cartesian wrenches

Velocity and force manipulability are orthogonal!

Force ellipsoid

Velocity ellipsoid

This is known as force/velocity duality

- You can apply the largest forces in the same directions that your max velocity is smallest

- Your max velocity is greatest in the directions where you can only apply the smallest forces

Think-pair-share

Force ellipsoid

Velocity ellipsoid

Velocity ellipsoid: $\quad \dot{x}^T \left(JJ^T \right)^{-1} \dot{x} = 1$

Force ellipsoid: $\quad F^T JJ^T F = 1$

Prove that the force and velocity ellipsoids are orthogonal

Manipulability Ellipsoid: Example

Solve for the principle axes of the manipulability ellipsoid for the planar two link manipulator with unit length links at

$$q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\pi}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$J(q) = \begin{bmatrix} -l_1 s_1 - l_2 s_{12} & -l_2 s_{12} \\ l_1 c_1 + l_2 c_{12} & l_2 c_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J(q) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J(q) J(q)^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda & -1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 2 + \sqrt{2} - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

Principle axes:

$$\sqrt{\lambda_1} v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -0.3029 \\ -0.1568 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sqrt{\lambda_2} v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -0.9530 \\ 1.8411 \end{pmatrix}$$

Kinematic redundancy

A general-purpose robot arm frequently has more DOFs than are strictly necessary to perform a given function

- in order to independently control the position of a planar manipulator end effector, only two DOFs are strictly necessary

  - If the manipulator has three DOFs, then it is *redundant w.r.t. the task* of controlling two dimensional position.

- In order to independently control end effector position in 3-space, you need at least 3 DOFs

- In order to independently control end effector position and orientation, at least 6 DOFs are needed (they have to be configured right, too…)

Kinematic redundancy

The local redundancy of an arm can be understood in terms of the local Jacobian

- The manipulator controls a number of Cartesian DOFs equal to the number of independent rows in the Jacobian

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} j_{11} & j_{12} & j_{13} \\ j_{21} & j_{22} & j_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since there are two *independent* rows, you can control two Cartesian DOFs independently ($m=2$)

You use three joints to control two Cartesian DOFs ($n=3$)

Since the number of independent Cartesian directions is less than the number of joints, ($m<n$), this manipulator is redundant w.r.t. the task of controlling those Cartesian directions.

Kinematic redundancy

What does this redundant space look like?

- At first glance, you might think that it's linear because the Jacobian is linear

- But, the Jacobian is only *locally linear*

The dimension of the redundant space is the number of joints – the number of independent Cartesian DOFs: *n-m.*

- For the three link planar arm, the redundant space is a set of one dimensional curves traced through the three dimensional joint space.

- Each curve corresponds to the set of joint configurations that place the end effector in the same position.

Redundant manifolds in joint space

Kinematic redundancy

Joint velocities in redundant directions causes no motion at the end effector

- These are *internal motions* of the manipulator.

Redundant joint velocities satisfy this equation: $0 = J(q)\dot{q}$

the *null space* of $J(q)$

$$N(J(q)) = \left| \dot{q} \in \dot{Q} : 0 = J(q)\dot{q} \right|$$

Compare to the *range space* of $J(q)$:

$$R(J(q)) = \left| \dot{x} \in \dot{X} : \exists \dot{q} \in \dot{Q}, \dot{x} = J(q)\dot{q} \right|$$

Redundant manifolds in joint space

Null space and Range space

Joint space
$Q \subseteq SO(n-1)$

$\dot{x} = J(q)\dot{q}$

Cartesian space
$X \subseteq R^m$

$R(J(q))$

$N(J(q))$

$N(J(q)) = \left| \dot{q} \in \dot{Q} : 0 = J(q)\dot{q} \right|$

Null space

You can't generate
these motions

- Motions in the null space
  are internal motions

$R(J(q)) = \left| \dot{x} \in \dot{X} : \exists \dot{q} \in \dot{Q}, \dot{x} = J(q)\dot{q} \right|$

Range space

Null space and Range space

Degree of manipulability: $\dim\big(R\big(J(q)\big)\big)$

Degree of redundancy: $\dim\big(N\big(J(q)\big)\big)$

$$\dim\big(N\big(J(q)\big)\big) + \dim\big(R\big(J(q)\big)\big) = \text{total DOF of manipulator}$$

$$\dot{x} = J(q)\dot{q}$$

$N\big(J(q)\big)$

$R\big(J(q)\big)$

Null space and Range space

As the manipulator moves to new configurations, the degree of manipulability may temporarily decrease – these are the singular configurations.

- There is a corresponding increase in degree of redundancy.

$$\dot{x} = J(q)\dot{q}$$

$N^{(J(q))}$

$R^{(J(q))}$

Null space and Range space

$$\dot{x} = J(q)\dot{q}$$

$N(J(q))$

$R(J(q))$

Remember the Jacobian's application to statics: $\tau = J(q)^T F$

$$R(J(q)) = N^{\perp}(J(q)^T)$$
$$N(J(q)) = R^{\perp}(J(q)^T)$$

Null space and Range space in the Force Domain

$$\tau = J(q)^T F$$

$$R\left(J(q)^T\right)$$

$$N\left(J(q)^T\right)$$

$$N(J(q))$$

$$R(J(q))$$

$$\dot{x} = J(q)\dot{q}$$

$$R\left(J(q)^T\right)$$

$$N\left(J(q)^T\right)$$

$$R(J(q))$$

$$N(J(q))$$

Null space and Range space in the Force Domain

$$\tau = J(q)^T F$$



$$R(J(q)) = N^{\perp}(J(q)^T)$$
$$N(J(q)) = R^{\perp}(J(q)^T)$$

- A Cartesian force cannot generate joint torques in the joint velocity null space.

- ...

Doing Things in the Redundant Joint Space

Motions in the redundant space do not affect the position of the end effector.

- Since they don't change end effector position, is there something we would like to do in this space?

  - Optimize kinematic manipulability?

  - Stay away from obstacles?

  - Something else?

Doing Things in the Redundant Joint Space

Assume that you are given a joint velocity, $\dot{q}_0$, you would like to achieve while also achieving a desired end effector twist, $\dot{x}_d$

- Required objective: $\dot{x}_d$

- Desired objective: $\dot{q}_0$

$$f(\dot{q}) = (\dot{q} - \dot{q}_0)^T (\dot{q} - \dot{q}_0)$$
$$g(\dot{q}) = J\dot{q} - \dot{x}$$

Minimize $f(z)$ subject to $g(z) = 0$:

Use lagrange multiplier method: $\nabla_z f(z) = \lambda \nabla_z g(z)$

Doing Things in the Redundant Joint Space

$$\nabla f = (\dot{q} - \dot{q}_0)^T$$

$$\nabla g = J$$

$$\nabla_z f(z) = \lambda \nabla_z g(z)$$

$$(\dot{q} - \dot{q}_0)^T = \lambda^T J$$

$$\dot{q} = J^T \lambda - \dot{q}_0$$

$$J(J^T \lambda - \dot{q}_0) = \dot{x}$$

$$\lambda = (JJ^T)^{-1}(\dot{x} - J\dot{q}_0)$$

$$\dot{q} = J^T (JJ^T)^{-1}(\dot{x} - J\dot{q}_0) + \dot{q}_0$$

$$\dot{q} = J^\# \dot{x} + (I - J^\# J)\dot{q}_0$$

Doing Things in the Redundant Joint Space

$$\dot{q} = J^{\#}\dot{x} + \underbrace{\left(I - J^{\#}J\right)\dot{q}_0}$$

Homogeneous part of the solution

Null space projection matrix: $I - J^{\#}J$

- This matrix projects an *arbitrary* vector into the null space of *J*

- This makes it easy to do things in the redundant space – just calculate what you would like to do and project it into the null space.

Things You Might do in the Null Space

Avoid kinematic singularities:

1. Calculate the gradient of the manipulability measure: $\dot{q}_0 = \nabla\sqrt{\det(JJ^T)}$

2. Project into null space: $\dot{q} = J^{\#}\dot{x} + (I - J^{\#}J)\dot{q}_0$

Avoid joint limits:

1. Calculate a gradient of the squared distance from a joint limit: $\dot{q}_0 = \alpha(q_m - q)$

2. Project into null space: $\dot{q} = J^{\#}\dot{x} + (I - J^{\#}J)\dot{q}_0$

- where q_m is the joint configuration at the center of the joints

- and q is the current joint position

Things You Might do in the Null Space

x_d

obstacle

x_2

x_1

^0z

Avoid kinematic obstacles:

1. Consider a set of control points (nodes) on the manipulator: $\left\{ x_1, x_2, x_3 \right\}$

2. Move all nodes away from the object: $\nabla x_i = x_i - x_{obstacle}$

3. Project desired motion into joint space: $\dot{q}_0 = \sum_{i \in nodes} J_i^T \nabla x_i$

4. Project into null space: $\dot{q} = J^{\#}\dot{x} + \left(I - J^{\#}J\right)\dot{q}_0$