# An Integration of HOL and ACL2

Mike Gordon, Warren A. Hunt, Jr., Matt Kaufmann, James Reynolds

An Integration of HOL and ACL2

Mike Gordon, Warren A. Hunt, Jr., Matt Kaufmann, James Reynolds

HOL and ACL2

- Higher order logic (HOL) can express pretty much anything
  - traditional textbook denotational semantics needs higher order functions
  - arbitrary mathematics
    - classical analysis (e.g. measure theory)
    - infinite stream processing (e.g. Cryptol semantics)
- ACL2 is a programming language and a theorem prover
  - ACL2 logic terms = Common Lisp programs
  - theorem prover for first order logic (FOL) + induction
  - high assurance + fast execution + strong proof automation
- Some projects committed to HOL, others to ACL2
  - Cambridge ARM project committed to HOL
  - Rockwell-Collins AAMP7 committed to ACL2
  - Galois SHADE project uses both HOL and ACL2

Motivating examples for linking HOL and ACL2

- ACL2 as a HOL simulation engine
  - translate HOL specifications into first-order ACL2
  - export ACL2-in-HOL to ACL2 system
  - run on ground data using ACL2 `stobj`-execution

- Validate the Galois Connections Cryptol-to-ACL2 compiler
  - Cryptol semantics easier in HOL than in ACL2
  - Galois SHADE tool translates Cryptol to AAMP7 via ACL2
  - validate SHADE compilation of *D* by HOL proof of
    $\vdash$ CryptolSemantics(*D*) $\equiv$ *Acl2ToHol*(*SHADE*(*D*))

- Use HOL measure theory to validate ACL2 primality test
  - Miller-Rabin test easy to code in ACL2, but hard to specify
  - HOL has a library supporting measure theory (Hurd)
  - validate ACL2 checker against HOL measure theory spec

Talk emphasizes automatic encoding/decoding tools

- ACL2 Workshop paper provides background, motivation, and a simple overview
  - ACL2 2006 Proceedings contain technical details
  - emphasizes low level logical issues

- This paper is:
  - more comprehensive
  - emphasizes automatic encoding/decoding tools in HOL

- Code and examples in SourceForge repository for HOL4

`http://hol.cvs.sourceforge.net/hol/hol98/examples/acl2/`

Previous work

- PM (Proof Manager) by Fink, Archer and Yang (UC Davis)
    - low emphasis on logical issues
    - main effort on unified UI for various provers

- ACL2PII by Staples
    - uses Prosper Integration Interface (PII)
    - more emphasis on logic issues than PM
    - tricky translation from HOL to FOL by ML scripts
    - used by Susanto to run his unverified ARM model

Requirements of current work

- Believable soundness story
  - earlier attempt not accepted by ACL2 community

- Handle big examples robustly
  - run software on Fox's verified ARM6 model

- Ease of use
  - value can be realized with only minimal knowledge of ACL2

- Compatible with Isabelle/HOL
  - Galois (Matthews) uses Isabelle/HOL for Cryptol semantics

Our approach: HOL theory SEXP of ACL2 logic

- Machine verified translation between higher order logic and first order SEXP theory

- Clean translations between HOL/SEXP and ACL2
  - ML tool writes HOL/SEXP to ACL2 input files
  - LISP tool writes ACL2 to HOL/SEXP input files

- Machine verified translation between expanded ACL2 and conventional style ACL2

ACL2: programming language or logic?

```
(EQUAL (* (* X Y) Z) (* X (* Y Z)))
```

[ASSOCIATIVITY-OF-* from ACL2 file `axioms.lisp`]

1. **An expression in Lisp?**

   valid because if X,Y and Z are replaced by any numbers, then the resulting instance of the axiom will evaluate to t in Lisp

2. **A formula of first order logic?**

   defines what it means for evaluation to be correct: it is a partial semantics of Lisp evaluation

- Second approach adopted:

   `axioms.lisp` defines the ACL2 logic

   differences between this and Lisp behaviour (when there are no guard violations) viewed as bugs in Lisp, not in the ACL2 axioms.

ACL2 inside HOL (1)

- First, a datatype of S-expressions in higher order logic

```
type_abbrev("packagename", ":string")
type_abbrev("name",        ":string")

Hol_datatype
 'sexp = ACL2_SYMBOL    of packagename => name
       | ACL2_STRING    of string
       | ACL2_CHARACTER of char
       | ACL2_NUMBER    of complex_rational
       | ACL2_PAIR      of sexp => sexp'
```

- Similar to Staples' ML definition, but inside the HOL logic

- complex_rational built from rationals (Jens Brandt)

ACL2 inside HOL (2)

- Overloading used to manage ACL2 names
  - `acl2Define "acl2Name" `holName ...`
  - constant *acl2Name* defined, then overloaded on *holName*
  - full ACL2 names simplify SEXP$\longleftrightarrow$ACL2 correspondence

- Simple examples: overload `sym` on `ACL2_SYMBOL`, then:

```
acl2Define "COMMON-LISP::NIL"
 `nil = sym "COMMON-LISP" "NIL"`

acl2Define "COMMON-LISP::T"
 `t = sym "COMMON-LISP" "T"`

acl2Define "COMMON-LISP::EQUAL"
 `equal x y = if x = y then t else nil`
```

ACL2 inside HOL (3)

- More examples: overload `cons` on `ACL2_PAIR`, then:

```
acl2Define "COMMON-LISP::CAR"
 `(car(cons x _) = x) ∧ (car _ = nil)`

acl2Define "COMMON-LISP::CDR"
 `(cdr(cons _ y) = y) ∧ (cdr _ = nil)`

acl2Define "COMMON-LISP::IF"
 `ite x y z = if x = nil then z else y`
```

- 31 ACL2 primitives:

  acl2-numberp bad-atom<= binary-* binary-+ unary-- unary-/ < car cdr char-code
  characterp code-char complex complex-rationalp coerce cons consp denominator
  equal if imagpart integerp intern-in-package-of-symbol numerator pkg-witness
  rationalp realpart stringp symbol-name symbol-package-name symbolp

- **All** these ACL2 primitives have been defined in HOL

- Some tricky to get right (e.g. `symbolp`) – see companion paper!

Proving the ACL2 axioms in HOL

- S-expression `p` corresponds to formula $\neg(p = nil)$
  - so define: $(\models p) = \neg(p = nil)$

- Note that `1` is a theorem of ACL2: $\vdash \models 1$

- Some ACL2 axioms are trivial to prove

  $\vdash \forall x\ y.\ \models$ `equal (car(cons x y)) x`

  $\vdash \forall x\ y.\ \models$ `equal (cdr(cons x y)) y`

- Others are harder
  - may just be hard (e.g. validity of ε_0-induction)
  - or have lots of fiddly details

- 78 axioms: we recently finished the proofs!

Simple example (type encoding)

- A simple HOL type definition:

  ```
  Hol_datatype `colour = R | B`
  ```

- The following theorems are generated automatically

  $\vdash$ `encode_colour c = case c of R -> nat 0 ‖ B -> nat 1`

  $\vdash$ `decode_colour x =`
  `   if x = nat 0 then R else if x = nat 1 then B else ARB`

  $\vdash$ `colourp x = ite (equal (nat 0) x) t (equal (nat 1) x)`

  $\vdash$ `decode_colour(encode_colour x) = x`

  $\vdash$ `(⊨ colourp x) ==> (encode_colour(decode_colour x) = x)`

  $\vdash$ `⊨ colourp(encode_colour x)`

  $\vdash$ `⊨ f(case a of R -> C0 ‖ B -> C1) =`
  `   ite (equal(encode_colour a)(nat 0)) (f C0) (f C1)`

- Can handle recursive datatypes (e.g. red-black trees)

Simple example (function encoding)

- From a HOL function definition:

  ```
  ⊢ (flip_colour R = B) ∧ (flip_colour B = R)
  ```

- The following are generated automatically:

  - definition of encoding function

    ```
    ⊢ acl2_flip_colour a =
        ite (colourp a)
            (ite (equal a (nat 0)) (nat 1) (nat 0))
            (nat 1)
    ```

  - recogniser theorem

    ```
    ⊢ ⊨ colourp(acl2_flip_colour a)
    ```

  - correctness theorem

    ```
    ⊢ encode_colour(flip_colour a) =
        acl2_flip_colour(encode_colour a)
    ```

- Can handle recursively defined functions

Summary

- ACL2 is faster and/or more secure than ML
  - computation has higher assurance than ML
  - can execute industrial scale models

- ACL2 combines a programming language with a logic
  - maybe uniquely has this property

- HOL can express things hard to express in ACL2
  - e.g. the definition of a measurable set

- Using ACL2 with HOL enlarges 'circle of trust'
  - but can attach ACL2 tag to HOL theorems

- Extra trusted code minimised
  - HOL, ACL2 assumed trusted
  - clean translations SEXP-in-HOL ⟷ SEXP-in-ACL2

A bonus

- Proving ACL2 axioms in HOL4 revealed bugs!

- In HOL 4:
  - performance issues for strings
    and parsing bugs for characters
  - ask Mike for more details

- In ACL2:
  - logical ("*1*") code for primitive function `pkg-witness`
    had wrong default value
  - ask Matt for more details

The future

- Finish off proving the ACL2 axioms in HOL
  - recently finished!

- ACL2 execution of HOL model of ARM FP coprocessor
  - hand translation done (Reynolds), next do it automatically

- ACL2 execution of HOL model of ARM processor
  - main effort will be deriving ACL2 version of Fox HOL model

- Apply HOL measure theory to ACL2 Miller-Rabin test
  - relate Hurd's proofs with Hunt's ACL2 model

- Explore Galois Inc's SHADE validation example
  - Cryptol semantics in higher order logic rather complex

Questions?

Example axioms proved (1)

```
("closure_defaxiom",
 |- |= andl
        [acl2_numberp (add x y); acl2_numberp (mult x y);
         acl2_numberp (unary_minus x); acl2_numberp (reciprocal x)])
("associativity_of_plus_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (add (add x y) z) (add x (add y z)))
("commutativity_of_plus_defaxiom", |- |= equal (add x y) (add y x))
("unicity_of_0_defaxiom", |- |= equal (add (nat 0) x) (fix x))
("inverse_of_plus_defaxiom", |- |= equal (add x (unary_minus x)) (nat 0))
("associativity_of_star_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (mult (mult x y) z) (mult x (mult y z)))
("commutativity_of_star_defaxiom", |- |= equal (mult x y) (mult y x))
("unicity_of_1_defaxiom", |- |= equal (mult (nat 1) x) (fix x))
("inverse_of_star_defaxiom",
 |- |= implies (andl [acl2_numberp x; not (equal x (nat 0))])
        (equal (mult x (reciprocal x)) (nat 1)))
("integer_0_defaxiom", |- |= integerp (nat 0))
("integer_1_defaxiom", |- |= integerp (nat 1))
("car_cons_defaxiom", |- |= equal (car (cons x y)) x)
("cdr_cons_defaxiom", |- |= equal (cdr (cons x y)) y)
("cons_equal_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (equal (cons x1 y1) (cons x2 y2))
        (andl [equal x1 x2; equal y1 y2]))
("booleanp_characterp_defaxiom", |- |= booleanp (characterp x))
("characterp_page_defaxiom", |- |= characterp (chr #"\f"))
("characterp_tab_defaxiom", |- |= characterp (chr #"\t"))
("characterp_rubout_defaxiom", |- |= characterp (chr #"\127"))
("coerce_inverse_1_defaxiom",
 |- |= implies (character_listp x)
        (equal (coerce (coerce x (csym "STRING")) (csym "LIST")) x))
```

Example axioms proved (2)

```
("coerce_inverse_2_defaxiom",
 |- |= implies (stringp x)
         (equal (coerce (coerce x (csym "LIST")) (csym "STRING")) x))
("character_listp_list_to_sexp",
 |- !l. |= character_listp (list_to_sexp chr l))
("character_listp_coerce_defaxiom",
 |- |= character_listp (coerce acl2_str (csym "LIST")))
("lower_case_p_char_downcase_defaxiom",
 |- |= implies (andl [upper_case_p x; characterp x])
         (lower_case_p (char_downcase x)))
("stringp_symbol_package_name_defaxiom",
 |- |= stringp (symbol_package_name x))
("symbolp_intern_in_package_of_symbol_defaxiom",
 |- |= symbolp (intern_in_package_of_symbol x y))
("symbolp_pkg_witness_defaxiom", |- |= symbolp (pkg_witness x))
("completion_of_plus_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (add x y)
         (itel
            [(acl2_numberp x,ite (acl2_numberp y) (add x y) x);
             (acl2_numberp y,y)] (nat 0)))
("completion_of_car_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (car x) (andl [consp x; car x]))
("completion_of_cdr_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (cdr x) (andl [consp x; cdr x]))
("completion_of_char_code_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (char_code x) (ite (characterp x) (char_code x) (nat 0)))
("completion_of_denominator_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (denominator x) (ite (rationalp x) (denominator x) (nat 1)))
("completion_of_imagpart_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (imagpart x) (ite (acl2_numberp x) (imagpart x) (nat 0)))
```

Example axioms proved (3)

```
("completion_of_intern_in_package_of_symbol_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (intern_in_package_of_symbol x y)
        (andl [stringp x; symbolp y; intern_in_package_of_symbol x y]))
("completion_of_numerator_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (numerator x) (ite (rationalp x) (numerator x) (nat 0)))
("completion_of_realpart_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (realpart x) (ite (acl2_numberp x) (realpart x) (nat 0)))
("completion_of_symbol_name_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (symbol_name x) (ite (symbolp x) (symbol_name x) (str "")))
("completion_of_symbol_package_name_defaxiom",
 |- |= equal (symbol_package_name x)
        (ite (symbolp x) (symbol_package_name x) (str "")))
("booleanp_bad_atom_less_equal_defaxiom",
 |- |= ite (equal (bad_atom_less_equal x y) t)
        (equal (bad_atom_less_equal x y) t)
        (equal (bad_atom_less_equal x y) nil))
("bad_atom_less_equal_antisymmetric_defaxiom",
 |- |= implies
        (andl
           [bad_atom x; bad_atom y; bad_atom_less_equal x y;
            bad_atom_less_equal y x]) (equal x y))
("bad_atom_less_equal_transitive_defaxiom",
 |- |= implies
        (andl
           [bad_atom_less_equal x y; bad_atom_less_equal y z;
            bad_atom x; bad_atom y; bad_atom z])
        (bad_atom_less_equal x z))
("bad_atom_less_equal_total_defaxiom",
 |- |= implies (andl [bad_atom x; bad_atom y])
        (ite (bad_atom_less_equal x y) (bad_atom_less_equal x y)
           (bad_atom_less_equal y x)))
```