

6.15

- Theorem: For any language A , there exists a language B , s.t. $A \leq_T B$ and $B \not\leq_T A$
- First, notice $A \leq_T A$, so finding B s.t. $B \not\leq_T A$ is the interesting part
- $B \not\leq_T A$ means B is harder than A : even with an oracle for A , we can't decide B
- Special case: suppose A is decidable, then any undecidable language works, say A_{TM}
- Generalize: $T_A = \{ M : M \text{ is a Turing machine with access to an } A\text{-oracle} \}$
- $A_{TM}^A = \{ \langle M, w \rangle : M \in T_A \text{ and } M \text{ accepts } w \}$
- Show $A \leq_T A_{TM}^A$ (easy: $w \in A$ iff $\langle N, w \rangle \in A_{TM}^A$, where N is a TM for A)
- and $A_{TM}^A \not\leq_T A$ (by diagonalization, just like we showed A_{TM} undecidable)

$A^{A_{TM}}$ is Undecidable

- Theorem: $A^{A_{TM}}$ is undecidable (relative to A).
- Recall: $A^{A_{TM}} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle : M \in T_A \text{ and } M \text{ accepts } w \}$
- Proof: Suppose there exists a TM H that decides $A^{A_{TM}}$ relative to A . Then, for any input $\langle M, w \rangle$, where $M \in T_A$, H accepts if M accepts w and rejects otherwise.
- Consider a TM D that takes an input $\langle M \rangle$, the description of M , and takes the following steps.
 - Run H on $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$
 - If H accepts, reject
 - If H rejects, accept
- Since H is a decider, D is also a decider.
- D on $\langle D \rangle = \text{accept}$
iff {def. D } H $\langle D, \langle D \rangle \rangle = \text{reject}$
iff {def. H } D on $\langle D \rangle = \text{reject}$ (Go both directions!) \sphericalangle