

Your solutions to this assignment should be typeset using LaTeX; see the course website for instructions and resources. A pdf file containing the solutions should be submitted by 11:59pm on the due date. Submission instructions will be posted on the course website.

Read Pierce, Chapter 5.

1. **Warmup** (25 pts.)

- (a) Write the following λ -calculus terms in their fully parenthesized, curried forms. Change all bound variable names to names of the form a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots where the first λ binds a_0 , the second a_1 , and so on.

i. $\lambda x, y. z \lambda y, z. z y x$

ii. $\lambda x. (\lambda y. y x) \lambda x. y x$

iii. $(\lambda x. y \lambda y. x y) \lambda y. x y$

- (b) We defined capture-avoiding substitution into a lambda term using the following three rules:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x. e_0)\{e_1/x\} &= \lambda x. e_0 \\ (\lambda y. e_0)\{e_1/x\} &= \lambda y. e_0\{e_1/x\} \quad (\text{where } y \neq x \wedge y \notin FV(e_1)) \\ (\lambda y. e_0)\{e_1/x\} &= \lambda z. e_0\{z/y\}\{e_1/x\} \quad (\text{where } z \neq x \wedge z \notin FV(e_0) \wedge z \notin FV(e_1)) \end{aligned}$$

In these rules, there are a number of conjuncts in the side conditions whose purpose is perhaps not immediately apparent. Show by counterexample that each of the above conjuncts of the form $x \notin FV(e)$ is independently necessary.

2. **Equivalence and normal forms** (15 pts.)

For each of the following pairs of λ -calculus terms, show either that the two terms are observationally equivalent or that they are not. Note that for part (a), we are assuming the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\triangleq \lambda s. \lambda z. z \\ 1 &\triangleq \lambda s. \lambda z. s z \\ \text{succ} &\triangleq \lambda n. \lambda s. \lambda z. s (n s z) \end{aligned}$$

- (a) $(\text{succ } 0)$ and 1

- (b) $\lambda x. x y$ and $\lambda x. y x$

3. **Encoding arithmetic** (20 pts.)

Pierce (Section 5.2, *Church Numerals*) presents one way to represent natural numbers in the λ -calculus. However, there are many other ways to encode numbers. Consider the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tru} &\triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. x \\ \text{fls} &\triangleq \lambda x. \lambda y. y \\ 0 &\triangleq \lambda x. x \\ n + 1 &\triangleq \lambda x. (x \text{ fls}) n \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show how to write the pred (predecessor) operation for this number representation. Reduce $(\text{pred } (\text{pred } 2))$ to its $\beta\eta$ normal form, which should be the representation of 0 above. pred need not do anything sensible when applied to 0.

- (b) Show how to write a λ -term `zero?` that determines whether a number is zero or not. It should return `tru` when the number is zero, and `fls` otherwise. Use the definitions of `tru` and `fls` given above.

4. **Encoding lists** (15 pts.)

Pierce (Section 5.2, *Pairs*) shows how to implement pairs with a pair constructor `pair`, defined as `pair = $\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda b. b x y$` . Or equivalently, we could define `pair` by writing `pair $x y = \lambda b. b x y$` . Lists can be implemented using pairs based roughly on the following idea (similar to a *tagged union*). If the list is non-empty (i.e., `cons $h t$` , a cons cell with a head and a tail), we would like represent it as a pair of (i) a tag to remember that it is a cons cell and (ii) a pair that contains the head and tail of the list. If the list is empty (i.e., `nil`, the null list), we would like to represent it as a pair of (i) a tag to remember that it is nil and (ii) some arbitrary value (we don't care what).

- (a) Show how to implement `nil`, `cons`, and `nil?` with the property that `nil? nil = tru` and `nil? (cons $h t$) = fls` for any h, t .
- (b) Show how to implement the functions `head` and `tail` that when applied to a non-empty list return the head and tail of the list, respectively.

5. **S and K combinators** (25 pts.)

Consider the following definitions:

$$\mathbf{S} \triangleq \lambda x, y, z. (x z) (y z)$$

$$\mathbf{K} \triangleq \lambda x, y. x$$

In this problem you will show that any λ -calculus expression can be expressed as a series of applications of the **S** and **K** combinators. In particular, if we think of **S** and **K** as part of the syntax, we can remove all of the λ 's from the lambda calculus!

- (a) Show that the **S** and **K** combinators can be used to construct an expression with the same normal form (under β and η reductions) as the identity expression $\lambda x. x$.
- (b) Now consider the following target language, which we might call “the λ -less calculus”:

$$\epsilon ::= \mathbf{S} \mid \mathbf{K} \mid x \mid \epsilon \epsilon$$

Write an *abstraction* function \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A}[[x, \epsilon]]$ is extensionally equivalent to $\lambda x. \epsilon$ (with the definitions of **S** and **K** given above). For example,

$$\mathcal{A}[[x, x']] = (\mathbf{K} x') \quad (\text{where } x \neq x')$$

because for all z ,

$$(\mathbf{K} x') z = x' = (\lambda x. x') z$$

- (c) Use \mathcal{A} to construct a translation \mathcal{C} from the complete λ -calculus to the λ -less calculus. Is your translation the most compact encoding possible?

Bonus factoid: We can define another combinator

$$\mathbf{X} \triangleq \lambda x. x \mathbf{K} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{K}$$

which can represent all closed λ -calculus expressions, because **K** has the same normal form as $(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{X}$ and **S** has the same normal form as $\mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X})$. So any λ -calculus term can be represented as a tree of applications of just this term!