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Al is Everywhere


## Connected Cars



- Sensors for data collection
- Assist drivers in making decisions to increase safety


## Personalized Medicine

Without Personalized Medicine:
Some Benefit, Some Do Not


With Personalized Medicine:
Each Patient Receives the Right Medicine For Them

- Treatment adjusted to individual patients
- Predictive models using a variety of features
- Better outcome and reduced cost


## < A Bit of History



1865

## A Bit of History



1940


Unimate Robot 1961


Sony Dream 2001

## Fast Forward in the Near Future



Al Transportation in Cities of the Future (10-20 years)

## Fast Forward in the Near Future



Al Robots in Medicine of the Future (10-20 years)

## Fast Forward in the Far Future

## What will happen in 100 years?



## Implications for Cyber Security

- Al has potential in security applications
- Complement traditional defenses (crypto, multi-factor authentication, trusted hardware)
- Design intelligent and adaptive defense algorithms
- ...But AI becomes a target of attack
- Deep Neural Networks are not resilient to adversarial manipulations
- [Szegedy et al. 13]: "Intriguing properties of neural networks"
- Many critical real-world applications are vulnerable
- New adversarially-resilient algorithms are needed!


## Al in Cybersecurity

## Can Al Improve Security?



## Industry

AI-100 2018
Cybersecurity
Al startups

## Al-Enabled Defenses

- Spam and phishing detection
- [Castillo et al. 07], [Ma et al. 09]

- Detect compromised accounts in social networks - [Egele et al. 13], [Thomas et al. 14], [Cao et al. 14]
- Malicious web sites and web connections
- [Bilge et al. 11], [Antonakakis et al. 12], [Hao et al. 17]
- Predict security events
- [Liu et al. 15], [Shen et al. 18]



## Security Breaches



- Exfiltration of sensitive information
- Loss of intellectual property
- Financial losses


## Defenses in Enterprise Networks



- Security controls deployed for network and host protection
- Security logs mostly used for forensic investigation
- How can we detect and predict breaches using security logs?


## Challenges of AI in Security

- Al is successful in many domains
- Product recommendation, NLP, speech recognition
- What is different in cyber security?

1. High cost of errors (both false positives and false negatives)
2. Variability of user activity under normal conditions
3. Interpretability of results to facilitate manual investigation
4. Resilience against advanced adversaries

## Limited success of machine learning for security in operational environments [Sommer and Paxson 2010]

## RSA Analytics Framework



## Key Ideas

- Design ML modules for specific attack patterns
- E.g., C\&C, lateral movement, data exfiltration
- Maximize precision and reduce false positive rates
$\equiv$
- Combine multiple models for increased recall of malicious activities
- Continuous interaction with EMC CIRC over several years
- Leverage ground truth from existing security products and previous incidents investigated by CIRC
- Interpretability of results


## MADE

- Goals
- Identify HTTP Command-and-Control (C\&C) communication

- Approach
- Use 10 categories of generic and enterprise features (89 total features)
- Enterprise-specific profiles of domains and user-agent strings
- Supervised learning (classification)
- Output
- Prioritized list of external C\&C domains
A. Oprea, Z. Li, R. Norris, K. Bowers. MADE: Security Analytics for Enterprise Threat Detection.


## Multi-Stage Attacks

- Goals
- Detect all domains and hosts involved in multi-stage campaigns
- Approach
- Semi-supervised learning
- Construct bipartite communication graph
- Label C\&C domains as seeds
- Propagate risk with belief propagation
- Output
- Prioritized list of malicious domains
- Compromised hosts


## Deployment Statistics

## Command-and-Control (C\&C)

## Multi-Stage Attacks

- Dataset
- 20 TB
- Precision (confirmed malicious)
- 97\%
- False positive rates:
- $6 \times 10^{-3} \%$
- New detections in one month
- 18 domains
- Dataset
- 38 TB
- Precision (confirmed malicious)
- 85\%
- False positive rates:
- $8.58 \times 10^{-4} \%$
- New detections in one month
- 152 domains
- 945 compromised hosts


## Open Problems: Interpretable Models for Security



- Why does the ML model predict something as attack?
- What type of attack it is?
- Is it similar to known attacks?
- Is it a new attack/zero-day?
- What is the root cause?


## Open Problems: Measurable Security

- What are the right metrics in cyber security?
- How do we compare different models?
- What are some good benchmarks?


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { accuracy }=\frac{T P+T N}{P+N} \\
& \text { precision }=\frac{T P}{T P+F P} \\
& \text { recall }=\frac{T P}{T P+F N}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Open Problem: Intelligent Automation



## Implications for Cyber Security

- Al has potential in security applications
- Complement traditional defenses (crypto, multi-factor authentication, trusted hardware)
- Design intelligent and adaptive defense algorithms
- ...But AI becomes a target of attack
- Deep Neural Networks are not resilient to adversarial manipulations
- [Szegedy et al. 13]: "Intriguing properties of neural networks"
- Many critical real-world applications are vulnerable
- New adversarially-resilient algorithms are needed!


## Security of AI

## Can Al Be Secured?



## Adversarial Machine Learning: Taxonomy



## Adversarial Machine Learning: Taxonomy



## Evasion Attacks


$\boldsymbol{x}$
"panda"
57.7\% confidence
$+.007 \times$

$\operatorname{sign}\left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{x}, y)\right)$
"nematode" $8.2 \%$ confidence

$\boldsymbol{x}+$
$\epsilon \operatorname{sign}\left(\nabla_{x} J(\theta, x, y)\right)$ "gibbon"
99.3 \% confidence

Adversarial
example


- [Szegedy et al. 13] Intriguing properties of neural networks
- [Biggio et al. 13] Evasion Attacks against Machine Learning at Test Time
- [Goodfellow et al. 14] Explaining and Harnessing Adversarial Examples
- [Carlini, Wagner 17] Towards Evaluating the Robustness of Neural Networks
- [Madry et al. 17] Towards Deep Learning Models Resistant to Adversarial Attacks
- [Kannan et al. 18] Adversarial Logit Pairing
- ...


## Evasion Attacks For Neural Networks

Input: Images represented as feature vectors


Optimization Formulation
Given input $x$
Find adversarial example

$$
x^{\prime}=x+\delta
$$

$\min _{\delta} c \mid\|\delta\|_{2}^{2}+Z_{t}(x+\delta)$

Min distance
Change class
[Carlini and Wagner 2017] Penalty method
[Biggio et al. 2013, Madry et al. 2018] Projected Gradient Descent

## Evasion Attacks for Security



## Challenge

- Attacks in feature space are not feasible in raw data space

Solution

- New iterative attack algorithm taking into account feature constraints


## How Effective are Evasion Attacks in Security?



Feed-Forward Neural Network
83 features

## Evasion Attacks in Connected Cars

Udacity Challenge

- Public competition and dataset 2014
- Steering angle prediction from camera image


Predict direction: Straight, Left, Right

## How Effective are Evasion Attacks in Connected Cars?



Convolutional Neural Network 25 million parameters

## Adversarial Examples



Adversarial Image Class "Right"


Adversarial Image Class "Left"

## Adversarial Examples



Original Image
Class "Left"


Adversarial Image
Class "Straight"


Adversarial Image
Class "Right"

## Taxonomy



## Training-Time Attacks

- ML is trained by crowdsourcing data in many applications
- Social networks
- News articles
- Tweets

- Navigation systems
- Face recognition
- Mobile sensors
- Cannot fully trust training data!



## Poisoning Availability Attacks



- Attacker Objective:
- Corrupt the predictions by the ML model significantly
- Attacker Capability:
- Insert fraction of poisoning points in training
M. Jagielski, A. Oprea, B. Biggio, C. Liu, C. Nita-Rotaru, and B. Li. Manipulating Machine


## Optimization Formulation

Given a training set $D$ find a set of poisoning data points $D_{p}$ that maximizes the adversary objective $A$ on validation set $D_{v a l}$ where corrupted model $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{p}$ is learned by minimizing the loss $L$ on $D \cup D_{p}$

```
\operatorname{argmax}}A(\mp@subsup{D}{val}{},\mp@subsup{\boldsymbol{0}}{p}{})\mathrm{ s.t.
\(D_{p}\) \(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{p} \in \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L\left(D \cup D_{p}, \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)\)
```

Bilevel Optimization NP-Hard!

First white-box attack for regression [Jagielski et al. 18]

- Determine optimal poisoning point $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{c}, y_{c}\right)$
- Optimize by both $\boldsymbol{x}_{c}$ and $y_{C}$


## How Effective are Poisoning Attacks?

- Improve existing attacks by a factor of 6.83


Predict loan rate with Ridge regression
(i.e. with L2 regularization)

## Is It Really a Threat?

- Case study on healthcare dataset (predict Warfarin medicine dosage )
- At $20 \%$ poisoning rate
- Modifies $75 \%$ of patients' dosages by $93.49 \%$ for LASSO
- Modifies $10 \%$ of patients' dosages by a factor of 4.59 for Ridge
- At 8\% poisoning rate
- Modifies 50\% of the patients' dosages by $75.06 \%$

| Quntile | Initial Dosage | Ridge Difference | LASSO Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1 | $15.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{wk}$ | $31.54 \%$ | $37.20 \%$ |
| 0.25 | $21 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{wk}$ | $87.50 \%$ | $93.49 \%$ |
| 0.5 | $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{wk}$ | $150.99 \%$ | $139.31 \%$ |
| 0.75 | $41.53 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{wk}$ | $274.18 \%$ | $224.08 \%$ |
| 0.9 | $52.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{wk}$ | $459.63 \%$ | $358.89 \%$ |

## Open Problem: Understand AI Threat Surface

Attacker's Objective

|  | Targeted <br> Target small set of <br> points | Availability <br> Target majority of <br> points | Privacy <br> Learn sensitive <br> information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Training | Targeted Poisoning <br> Backdoor | Poisoning <br> Availability |
|  |  | Trojan Attacks |  |

- Application-specific attacks with realistic constraints
- How secure is my AI application?


## Open Problem: Design Robust AI



- Most Al models are vulnerable in face of attacks!
- Evasion (testing-time) attacks
- Poisoning (training-time) attacks
- Privacy attacks
- How to make AI more robust to attacks?


## Takeaways

- Al has potential in security applications
- Design intelligent and adaptive defense algorithms
- Open problems: Interpretable models; Measurable security; Intelligent Automation for cyber security
- ...But Al becomes a target of attack
- Traditional ML and Deep Neural Networks are not resilient to adversarial manipulations
- Open problem: Understand threat surface for critical realworld applications in systematic way
- Open problem: Design robust AI algorithms in face of attacks
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