

# Brief Notes on Dimension Theory

William W. Symes

October 7, 2010

Throughout,  $V$  is a vector space, as defined in VI.1 of Lang, where you will also find definitions of *linear combination*, the vector space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and the vector space  $\mathcal{F}(S, V)$  of functions on a set  $S$  with values in a vector space  $V$  (Example 8, with different notation). Recall that I gave a careful recursive definition of linear combination in class.

A linear combination is *nontrivial* if at least one coefficient is nonzero.

**Defn.** Suppose  $S \subset V$ . Then  $\text{Span}(S)$  denotes the set of all linear combinations of elements of  $S$ :

$$\text{Span}(S) = \{c_1v_1 + \dots + c_kv_k : k \in \mathbf{Z}^+, c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbf{R}, v_1, \dots, v_k \in S\}$$

**Defn.** A set  $S \subset V$  is *linearly dependent* if there exists some nontrivial linear combination of elements of  $S$  equal to the zero vector, i.e. for some  $k \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ ,  $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in S$ , with  $|c_1| + |c_2| + \dots + |c_k| > 0$ ,

$$c_1v_1 + \dots + c_kv_k = 0.$$

$S$  is *linearly independent* if it is not linearly dependent.

Examples:

1. the subset  $\{0\} \subset V$  (consisting of the zero vector) is always linearly dependent. So is any set containing the zero vector.
2. in the vector space  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}^1$ , the set  $\{1\}$  is linearly independent. However the set  $\{1, 2\}$  is linearly dependent, since  $2 \cdot 1 + (-1) \cdot 2 = 0$ .

3. in the vector space  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , set  $v_1 = (1, 0), v_2 = (1, -1)$ . Then  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is linearly independent: if  $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 = (c_1 + c_2, -c_2) = (0, 0)$ , then  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ .
4. in the vector space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , for each  $k \in J_n$  set  $e_k =$  vector with  $k$ th coordinate  $= 1$ , all other coordinates  $= 0$ .  $e_k$  is called the  $k$ th *standard basis vector*. Any subset of  $S = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is linearly independent.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose  $T \subset S \subset V$ , and  $T$  is linearly dependent. Then  $S$  is linearly dependent.

**Proof:** The hypothesis supposes the existence of  $k \in J_n, t_1, \dots, t_k \in T, c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbf{R}$  so that

$$c_1t_1 + \dots + c_kt_k = 0, \quad c_j \neq 0 \text{ for some } j \in J_k.$$

However  $t_1, \dots, t_k \in S$  also, so  $S$  is linearly dependent. **Q.E.D.**

**Lemma 2.** Suppose  $T \subset S \subset V$ , and  $S$  is linearly independent. Then  $T$  is linearly independent.

**Proof:** Else, by Lemma 1,  $S$  would be linearly dependent. **Q.E.D.**

**Lemma 3.** Suppose  $T \subset V$  is linearly independent, and  $t \notin \text{Span}(T)$ . Then  $T \cup \{t\}$  is linearly independent.

**Proof:** Suppose not: that is, there exist  $t_1, \dots, t_k \in T, c, c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbf{R}$  so that

$$ct + c_1t_1 + \dots + c_kt_k = 0. \tag{1}$$

Either  $c \neq 0$  or  $c = 0$ . In the former case, divide the preceding equation through by  $c$  and rearrange to get

$$t = \left(-\frac{c_1}{c}\right)t_1 + \dots + \left(-\frac{c_k}{c}\right)t_k \Rightarrow t \in \text{Span}(T),$$

contradicting the second assumption. In the latter case, the linear combination (1) must be a nontrivial linear combination of elements of  $T$ , which contradicts the first assumption. **Q.E.D.**

**Defn.** A finite subset  $S \subset V$  is a *basis* of  $V$  if and only if (1)  $S$  is linearly independent, and (2)  $V = \text{Span}(S)$ .

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $S \subset V$  is a basis, and  $\#S = n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ . Suppose  $T \subset V$ , and either  $T$  is infinite or  $\#T > n$ . Then  $T$  is linearly dependent.

**Proof:** Suppose not, that is, that  $T$  is linearly independent.

Claim: for each  $k = 0, \dots, n$ , there exists  $S_{n-k} \subset S$ ,  $T_k \subset T$  so that  $\#S_{n-k} = n-k$ ,  $\#T_k = k$ , and  $S_{n-k} \cup T_k$  is a basis of  $V$ . Establish the claim by induction: for  $k = 0$ ,  $S = S_n$ ,  $T_0 = \emptyset$ , and the claim is just the hypothesis that  $S$  is a basis. Suppose the claim to be true for  $k < n$ . Enumerate the members:  $S_{n-k} = \{v_1, \dots, v_{n-k}\}$ ,  $T_k = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ . Since  $\#T_k = k < n < \#T$ , there exists at least one  $t \in T \setminus T_k$ . Since  $S_{n-k} \cup T_k$  is a basis, can choose  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-k}, d_1, \dots, d_k \in \mathbf{R}$  so that

$$t = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_{n-k} v_{n-k} + d_1 w_1 + \dots + d_k w_k.$$

Note that at least one of the  $c_j$  must be nonzero, else the preceding equation would show that  $T$  is linearly dependent. Renumber the  $v$ 's (i.e. compose the enumeration map  $J_{n-k} \rightarrow S_{n-k}$  with a permutation of  $J_{n-k}$  so that  $j = n-k$ ). Then you can solve the above equation for  $v_{n-k}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n-k} &= \left( -\frac{c_1}{c_{n-k}} \right) v_1 + \dots + \left( -\frac{c_{n-k-1}}{c_{n-k}} \right) v_{n-k-1} \\ &+ \left( \frac{-1}{c_{n-k}} \right) t + \left( -\frac{d_1}{c_{n-k}} \right) w_1 + \dots + \left( -\frac{d_k}{c_{n-k}} \right) w_k. \end{aligned}$$

Rename  $w_{k+1} = t$ , set  $S_{n-k-1} = \{v_1, \dots, v_{n-k-1}\}$ ,  $T_{k+1} = \{w_1, \dots, w_{k+1}\}$ . These sets have the right cardinalities, so it remains only to show that  $S_{n-k-1} \cup T_{k+1}$  is a basis. To see that this set is linearly independent, suppose that for some  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-k}, b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$0 = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{n-k-1} v_{n-k-1} + b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_k w_k + b_{k+1} w_{k+1}$$

and substitute the expression given above for  $w_{k+1} = t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &= a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{n-k-1} v_{n-k-1} + b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_k w_k + \\ & \quad b_{k+1} (c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_{n-k} v_{n-k} + d_1 w_1 + \dots + d_k w_k) \\ &= (a_1 + b_{k+1} c_1) v_1 + \dots + (a_{n-k-1} + b_{k+1} c_{n-k-1}) v_{n-k-1} \\ & \quad + b_{k+1} c_{n-k} v_{n-k} \\ & \quad + (b_1 + b_{k+1} d_1) w_1 + \dots + (b_k + b_{k+1} d_k) w_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S_{n-k} \cup T_k$  is a basis, all coefficients in this linear combination must vanish. In particular,  $b_{k+1} c_{n-k} = 0$ . However  $c_{n-k} \neq 0$ , so  $b_{k+1} = 0$ , and therefore  $a_1 = \dots = a_{n-k-1} = b_1 = \dots = b_k = 0$  also, that is, the linear combination is trivial.

It's equally easy to see that  $S_{n-k-1} \cup T_{k+1}$  spans  $V$ , thus finishing the induction step and therefore the proof of the claim.

In particular, for  $k = n$  we have shown the existence of a *basis*  $T_n \subset T$  with  $\#T_n = n$  ( $T_n$  is a basis all by itself, since  $S_0 = \emptyset$ ). But  $\#T > n$ , so there is  $t \in T \setminus T_n$ . Since  $t \in \text{Span}(T)$ , the set  $T_n \cup \{t\} \subset T$  must be linearly dependent, but then so must be  $T$ , a contradiction. **Q.E.D.**

**Theorem 2 (Main Theorem of Dimension Theory):** For any vector space  $V$ , either

- $V$  has no basis, or
- all bases of  $V$  have the same cardinality.

**Defn.** If  $V$  has a basis, then the *dimension* of  $V$ , written  $\dim V$ , is the cardinality of any basis. That is, if  $S$  is (any) basis of  $V$ , then

$$\dim V = \#S.$$

If  $V$  has a basis, it is called *finite-dimensional*. By convention, the trivial vector space  $V = \{0\}$ , which clearly has no basis, has dimension zero. If  $V$  does not have a basis and contains a nonzero vector,  $V$  is called *infinite-dimensional*.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $\dim V = n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ , and  $S \subset V$  spans  $V$  (that is,  $V = \text{Span}(S)$ ). Then there exists a basis  $B$  of  $V$  with  $B \subset S$ .

**Proof:** Let

$$K = \{k \in \mathbf{Z}^+ : \text{there exists a linearly independent subset } T \subset S \text{ with } \#T = k\}.$$

It follows from Theorem 1 that  $K \subset J_n$ , so  $K$  has a maximum member, say  $m$ , hence there is a subset  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \subset S$  which is linearly independent. Suppose  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  does *not* span  $V$ , then there must exist a  $w \in S \setminus \text{Span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_m\})$  - otherwise,  $S \subset \text{Span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_m\})$ , whence  $V = \text{Span}(S) \subset \text{Span}(\{v_1, \dots, v_m\})$ . Then (by Lemma 3)  $\{w, v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  is a linearly independent subset of  $S$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $m$ . Conclude that in fact  $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  spans  $V$ , so is a basis (and  $m = n$ ). **Q.E.D.**